

## Midterm

This exam is due in class on November 8. There is **no late policy** for the midterm. Start early.

*Some edits to the notes at the end on 10/30/2012, 8pm*

*Work with  $m \geq 3$  in Problem 3. 11/06/2012, 11am*

1. [10/100] Suppose that you are interested in constructing a 1-qubit unitary operator  $U$  with the properties that

$$U|0\rangle = -|1\rangle$$
$$U \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle \right) = |0\rangle$$

Does such a unitary operator exist? If so describe it as a  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix, if not give an example of a quantum state which, according to the above rules, is mapped to something that is not a valid quantum state.

2. [30/100] (In this problem, all operations are in the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . See the note at the end of the exam if you are not familiar with linear algebra in finite fields.)

Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a function such that there exists distinct and non-zero  $a, b \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with the property that for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have

$$f(x) = f(y) \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}. y = x + \alpha a + \beta b$$

Note that this is a “two-dimensional generalization” of the assumption in Simon’s algorithm.

Suppose that we run Simon’s algorithm on  $f$ :

- (a) [20/100] Describe the distribution of outcomes of the measurement at the last step

- (b) [10/100] Show that by running the algorithm  $O(n)$  times it is possible to reconstruct the set  $\{a, b, a + b\}$ .
3. [30/100] Let  $M = 2^m$  be a power of two,  $m \geq 3$ . The period-finding algorithm of lecture 8 is able to recover the period  $r$  of a function  $f : \{0, \dots, M - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, M - 1\}$  if  $r \leq \sqrt{M}$ , but for much larger periods the measurement at the last step does not always give enough information to accurately reconstruct  $r$ . In some cases, however, one can still get non-trivial information about  $r$  even for very large  $r$ .
- Show that there is an algorithm that runs one iteration of the period-finding algorithm and, after seeing the outcome of the measurement at Step 4 decides whether to *accept* or *reject* and:
- (a) if  $f$  has period  $r = M/2$ , then the algorithm accepts with probability 1
- (b) there is a constant  $p < 1$  (independent of  $M$ ) such that if  $f$  has period  $r = M/2 - 1$ , then the algorithm accepts with probability  $\leq p$ .
4. [30/100] Use Grover's algorithm to prove that the 3-coloring problem can be solved in time  $O(2^{n/2} \cdot n^{O(1)})$  on a quantum computer, where  $n$  is the number of vertices.

[Hint: show that a valid 3-coloring can be encoded using  $n + O(1)$  bits.]

**Linear Algebra mod 2.**  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  has elements  $\{0, 1\}$  and operations of addition and multiplication mod 2. Linear algebra in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  works mostly in the same way as in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : a vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is simply an  $n$ -bit string; the sum of two vectors  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is  $x + y := (x_1 + y_1 \bmod 2, \dots, x_n + y_n \bmod 2)$ ; the multiplication of a vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  by a scalar  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  is  $\alpha x := (\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n)$ ; a linear combination of vectors  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}$  using coefficients  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  is  $\alpha_1 x^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha_k x^{(k)}$ ; a linear combination is non-trivial if not all coefficients are zero, and a collection of vectors is linearly independent if all their non-trivial linear combinations are non-zero;  $k$  linearly independent vectors span a  $k$ -dimensional subspace, and a  $k$ -dimensional subspace has precisely  $2^k$  elements;  $k$  linearly independent homogeneous linear equations over  $n$  variables have precisely  $2^{n-k}$  solutions, forming a  $(n - k)$ -dimensional subspace, and so on. One thing to pay attention to: if, by analogy with linear algebra over the reals, you try to define an inner product as  $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_i x_i y_i \bmod 2$  then what you get is not an inner product, because you can have non-zero vectors  $v$ , for example  $v = (1, 1)$  such that  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$ , and you can have vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  even though the vectors  $v_i$  are not linearly independent, for example, consider  $(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1)$ .