## Lecture 13

In which we prove a lower bound for quantum search algorithms via the polynomial method.

We want to show that a quantum algorithm that, given a function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$ , finds a solution x such that f(x) = 1 if one exists, must have running time at least  $\Omega(\sqrt{2^n})$ , provided that access to the function f() is only given to the algorithm via a unitary transformation  $U_f$  over n+1 qubits such that  $U_f|x, b\rangle = |x\rangle|b \oplus f(x)\rangle$ . In the last lecture we considered the case in which f is "given" via a unitary transformation  $U_f$  such that  $U_f|x\rangle = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$ . The result that we prove today is only stronger, because from a unitary transformation  $U_f$  like the one we consider today we can derive a unitary transformation like the one considered in the last lecture as

$$U_f \cdot \left( I_n \otimes \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \right) U_f$$

**Theorem 1 (Main)** Let A be a quantum algorithm that given in input  $|0 \cdots 0\rangle$ , performs unitary operations independent of f and applies  $U_f$  to its first n + 1 qubits a total of at most T times, and, at the end, outputs 1 with probability  $\geq 90\%$  if there is an x such that f(x) = 1 and outputs 0 with probability  $\geq 90\%$  if for all x we have f(x) = 0.

Then  $T \ge \Omega(\sqrt{2^n})$ .

Again, this is somewhat stronger than we proved in the last lecture, in which we required the algorithm to output an x such that f(x) = 1 with probability  $\geq 90\%$  if such an x exists. Indeed, such an algorithm can be easily converted to an algorithm that satisfies the assumptions of the theorem.

The main theorem is proved in the following way.

**Lemma 2** Suppose that we have a quantum algorithm as in the assumption of the Main Theorem.

Then there is an N-variate real polynomial  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ ,  $N = 2^n$ , of degree 2T such that  $0 \le p(0, \cdots, 0) \le .1$  and for all  $(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N - \{(0, \cdots, 0\} we have .9 \le p(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \le 1.$ 

**Lemma 3** Let  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$  be a real polynomial such that  $0 \le p(0, \cdots, 0) \le .1$  and for all  $(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N - \{(0, \cdots, 0\} \text{ we have } .9 \le p(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \le 1.$ Then the degree of p is  $\ge \Omega(\sqrt{N})$ .

## 1 Proof of Lemma 2

We first prove the following fact.

**Lemma 4** If a quantum algorithm starts in the state  $|0 \cdots 0\rangle$  and alternates applications of unitary transformations independent of f and applications of  $U_f$ , then, after t applications of f, each amplitude of the state of the algorithm is a polynomial of degree t in the values f(x).

This is proved by induction on t. When t = 0, the amplitudes are constant independent of f, that is, polynomials of degree 0 in the values of f(). For the inductive step, if  $|a\rangle$  is a quantum state

$$|a\rangle = \sum_{x,b,w} a_{x,b,w} |x,b,w\rangle$$

and each amplitude  $a_{x,b,w}$  is a polynomial of degree t in the values of f(), then the amplitude of x, b, w in  $U_f|a\rangle$  is

$$(1 - f(x)) \cdot a_{x,b,w} + f(x) \cdot a_{x,1-b,w}$$

which is a polynomial of degree t + 1 in the values of f.

Now, let S be the set of final accepting states of the algorithm, and let  $a_z$  be the amplitude of each possible final state z. Then each  $a_z$  is a polynomial of degree at most T in the values f(x), and the probability that the algorithm accepts is

$$p(f(x_1),\ldots,(x_{2^n})) = \left|\sum_{z\in S} a_z\right|^2$$

where p() is a polynomial of degree at most 2T, and  $x_1, \ldots, x_{2^n}$  is an enumeration of the elements of  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Note that, for every real-valued input, p has a real value, so p is a polynomial with real coefficients, and that p satisfies all the properties of the conclusion of Lemma 2.

## 2 Proof of Lemma 3

First we prove the following fact.

**Lemma 5** Let p be a polynomial of degree d as in the assumptions of Lemma 3. Then there is a univariate polynomial q of degree at most d such that  $0 \le q(0) \le .1$  and for each  $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$  we have  $.9 \le q(i) \le 1$ .

PROOF: First of all, we can assume without loss of generality that p is a multilinear polynomial, that is, every variable appears with degree at most one in each monomial. This is because the properties that we assume about p are about inputs in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , and if we replace every occurrence of  $x_i^k$  with  $k \ge 2$  by  $x_i$ , we do not change the value of p on such inputs. Define now the symmetrization of p as

$$\overline{p}(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\pi} p(x_{\pi(1)},\ldots,x_{\pi(N)})$$

This is still a multilinear polynomial of degree at most d, and we have that  $0 \leq \overline{p}(0,\ldots,0) \leq .1$  and for all  $(b_1,\ldots,b_N) \in \{0,1\}^N - \{(0,\ldots,0)\}, .9 \leq \overline{p}(b_1,\ldots,b_N) \leq 1$ . Furthermore,  $\overline{p}$  is a constant plues a linear combination of symmetric polynomials of degree at most d, where the symmetric polynomial of degree  $k \geq 1$  is

$$s_k(x_1,\ldots,x_N) := \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,\ldots,N\}, |S|=k} \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

the sum of all degree k multilinear monomial. (Notice that each monomial of degree k of p becomes a multiple of  $s_k$  in  $\overline{p}$ .)

The next observation is that

**Claim 6** For each  $k \ge 1$ , there is a univariate polynomial  $q_k$  of degree k such that for all boolean inputs  $(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have  $s_k(b_1, \ldots, b_N) = q_k(b_1 + \cdots + b_n)$ .

This can be proved by induction on k: the base case k = 1 is trivial. Assuming we have the statement up to k - 1, consider the expansion of  $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^k$  and then repeatedly apply the equation  $x^2 = x$  to the expansion: we get a polynomial that is equal to  $s_k$  plus a linear combination of the symmetric polynomials  $s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}$ . Each of the latter polynomials can be written (for inputs in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ) as a polynomial of degree  $\leq k - 1$  in  $(\sum_i x_i)$ , and so overall we have written  $s_k$  as a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  in  $(\sum_i x_i)$ .

This means that we can find a univariate polynomial q of degree d such that for every  $(b_1, \ldots, b_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$  we have

$$q\left(\sum_{i}b_{i}\right) = \overline{p}(b_{1},\ldots,b_{N})$$

and q satisfies the conclusions of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

We then derive Lemma 3 by applying the following fact to the univariate polynomial q of the previous lemma.

**Lemma 7** Let q be a univariate real polynomial of degree d such that for every integer  $i \in \{0, ..., N\}$  we have  $b_1 \leq q(i) \leq b_2$ , and let  $c := \sup_{x \in [0,N]} |q'(x)|$ , where q' is the derivative of q. Then

$$d \ge \sqrt{\frac{Nc}{b_2 - b_1 + c}}$$

Because the polynomial q of Lemma 5 is such that  $0 \le q(i) \le 1$  for all  $i \in \{0, ..., N\}$ , and since  $q(0) \le .1$  and  $q(1) \ge .9$  it must be that  $q'(x) \ge .8$  for some  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and so  $d \ge \Omega(\sqrt{N})$ .

It remains to prove Lemma 7

## 3 Proof of Lemma 7

We use the following result of Markov, that we state without proof.

**Theorem 8** Let q be a univariate polynomial of degree d such that  $\forall x \in [a_1, a_2]$  we have  $b_1 \leq q(x) \leq b_2$ . Then, for all  $x \in [a_1, a_2]$ ,

$$|q'(x)| \le d^2 \cdot \frac{b_2 - b_1}{a_2 - a_1}$$

Now let us consider a univariate polynomial q as in the assumptions of Lemma 7. Then for each  $x \in [0, N]$  we have

$$b_1 - \frac{c}{2} \le q(x) \le b_2 + \frac{c}{2}$$

Because the value of q at a point z in the interval [i, i + 1/2] for i = 0, ..., N - 1 is

$$q(z) = q(i) + \int_{i}^{x} q'(x) dx \ge q(i) - c \cdot (x - i) \ge b_{1} - \frac{c}{2}$$
$$q(z) = q(i) + \int_{i}^{x} q'(x) dx \le q(i) + c \cdot (x - i) \le b_{2} + \frac{c}{2}$$

and applying Markov's theorem we have

$$c \le d^2 \cdot \frac{b_2 - b_1 + c}{N}$$