

Scribed by Chinmay Nirkhe

Lecture 9

In which we explore the Stochastic Block Model.

1 The $G_{n,p,q}$ problem

The *Stochastic Block Model* is a generic model for graphs generated by some parameters. The simplest model and one we will consider today is the $G_{n,p,q}$ problem.

Definition 1 ($G_{n,p,q}$ graph distribution) *The $G_{n,p,q}$ distribution is a distribution on graphs of n vertices where V is partitioned into two 2 subsets of equal size: $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$. Then for $\{i, j\}$ pair of vertices in the same subset, $\Pr((i, j) \in E) = p$ and otherwise $\Pr((i, j) \in E) = q$.*

We will only consider the regime under which $p > q$. If we want to find the partition $V = V_1 \sqcup V_2$, it is intuitive to look at the problem of finding the minimum balanced cut. The cut (V_1, V_2) has expected size $qn^2/4$ and any other cut will have greater expected size.

Our intuition should be that as $p \rightarrow q$, the problem only gets harder. And for fixed ratio p/q , as $p, q \rightarrow 1$, the problem only gets easier. This can be stated rigorously as follows: If we can solve the problem for p, q then we can also solve it for cp, cq where $c > 1$, by keeping only $1/c$ edges and reducing to the case we can solve.

Recall that for the k -planted clique problem, we found the eigenvector \mathbf{x} corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of $A - \frac{1}{2}J$. We then defined S as the vertices i with the k largest values of $|x_i|$ and cleaned up S a little to get our guess for the planted clique.

In the Stochastic Block Model we are going to follow a similar approach, but we are instead going to find the largest eigenvalue of $A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)J$. Note this is intuitive as the average degree of the graph is $p(n/2 - 1) + q(n/2) \approx \frac{n}{2}(p + q)$. The idea is simple: Solve \mathbf{x} the largest eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue and define

$$V_1 = \{i : x_i > 0\}, \quad V_2 = \{i : x_i \leq 0\} \tag{1}$$

and then clean up the sets. As we proceed to the analysis of this procedure, we fix V_1, V_2 . Prior to fixing, the adjacency matrix A was $\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)J$.¹ Upon fixing V_1, V_2 , the average

¹The diagonal should be zeroes, but this is close enough.

adjacency matrix R looks different. For ease of notation, if we write a bold constant \mathbf{c} for a matrix, we mean the matrix cJ . It will be clear from context.

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{q} \\ \hline \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{p} \end{array} \right) \quad (2)$$

Here we have broken up R into blocks according to the partition V_1, V_2 .

Theorem 2 *If $p, q > \log n/n$ then with high probability, $\|A - R\| < O\left(\sqrt{n(p+q)}\right)$.*

PROOF: Define the graph G_1 as the union of a $G_{n/2,p}$ graph on V_1 and $G_{n/2,p}$ graph on V_2 . Define the graph G_2 as a $G_{n,q}$ graph. Note that the graph G is distributed according to picking a G_1 and G_2 graph and adding the partition crossing edges of G_2 to G_1 . Let A_1 and A_2 be the respective adjacency matrices and define the follow submatrices:

$$A_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A'_1 & \\ \hline & A''_1 \end{array} \right), \quad A_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A'_2 & A'''_2 \\ \hline A''''_2 & A''_2 \end{array} \right). \quad (3)$$

Then the adjacency matrix A is defined by

$$A = A_1 + A_2 - \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A'_2 & \\ \hline & A''_2 \end{array} \right) \quad (4)$$

Similarly, we can generate a decomposition for R :

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{p} & \\ \hline & \mathbf{p} \end{array} \right) + \left(\mathbf{q} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{q} & \\ \hline & \mathbf{q} \end{array} \right). \quad (5)$$

Then using triangle inequality we can bound $\|A - R\|$ by bounding the difference in the various terms.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - R\| &\leq \left\| A_1 - \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{p} & \\ \hline & \mathbf{p} \end{array} \right) \right\| + \|A_2 - (\mathbf{q})\| + \left\| \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A'_2 & \\ \hline & A''_2 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{q} & \\ \hline & \mathbf{q} \end{array} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq O(\sqrt{np}) + O(\sqrt{nq}) + O(\sqrt{nq}) \\ &= O\left(\sqrt{n(p+q)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The last line follows as the submatrices are adjacency matrices of $G_{n,p}$ graphs and we can apply the results we proved in that regime for $p, q > \log n/n$. \square

But the difficulty is that we don't know R as $R = R(V_1, V_2)$. If we knew R , then we would know the partition. What we can compute is $\|A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)J\|$.² We can rewrite R as

$$R = \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right)J + \frac{p-q}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{1} & -\mathbf{1} \\ \hline -\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right) \quad (7)$$

²The rest of this proof actually doesn't even rely on knowing p or q . We can estimate $p+q$ by calculating the average vertex degree.

Call the matrix on the right C . It is clearly rank-one as it has decomposition $n\chi\chi^\dagger$ where $\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore

$$\left\| \left(A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2} \right) J \right) - \left(\frac{p-q}{2} \right) C \right\| = \|A - R\| \leq O\left(\sqrt{n(p+q)}\right). \quad (8)$$

Then $A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) J$ is close (in operator norm) to the rank 1 matrix $\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) C$. Then their largest eigenvalues are close. But since $\left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) C$ has only one non-zero eigenvalue χ , finding the corresponding eigenvector to the largest eigenvalue of $A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) J$ will be close to the ideal partition as C describes the ideal partition. This can be formalized with the Davis-Kahan Theorem.

Theorem 3 (Davis-Kahan) *Given matrices M, M' with $\|M - M'\| \leq \varepsilon$ where M has eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ and corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ and M' has eigenvalues $\lambda'_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda'_n$ and corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_n$, then*

$$\sin(\text{angle}(\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1), \text{span}(\mathbf{v}'_1))) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda'_1 - \lambda_2|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \varepsilon|}. \quad (9)$$

Equivalently,

$$\min\{\|\mathbf{v}_1 \pm \mathbf{v}'_1\|\} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \varepsilon}. \quad (10)$$

The Davis Kahan Theorem with $M' = A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) J, M = \left(\frac{p-q}{2}\right) C$, and $\varepsilon = O\left(\sqrt{n(p+q)}\right)$ states that

$$\min\{\|\mathbf{v}' \pm \chi\|\} \leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{a+b}}{a-b - O(\sqrt{a+b})}\right) \quad (11)$$

where \mathbf{v}' , the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of $A - \left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) J$ and $a = pn/2, b = qn/2$, the expected degrees of the two parts of the graph. Choose between $\pm\mathbf{v}'$ for the one closer to χ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{v}' - \chi\|^2 \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{a+b}}{a-b - O(\sqrt{a+b})}\right)^2\right). \quad (12)$$

Recall that $\sum_i (v'_i - \chi_i)^2 = \|\mathbf{v}' - \chi\|^2$. If v'_i and χ_i disagree in sign, then this contributes at least $1/n$ to the value of $\|\mathbf{v}' - \chi\|^2$. Equivalently, $n \cdot \|\mathbf{v}' - \chi\|^2$ is at least the number of misclassified vertices. It is simple to see from here that if $a - b \geq c_\varepsilon \sqrt{a+b}$ then we can bound the number of misclassified vertices by εn . This completes the proof that the proposed algorithm does well in calculating the partition of the Stochastic Block Model.