Improved Non-approximability Results for Vertex Cover with Density Constraints

Andrea E.F. Clementi^{*} and Luca Trevisan^{**}

Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Informazione. Università di Roma "La Sapienza". Via Salaria 113, 00198 Roma, Italy. Email: {clementi,trevisan}@dsi.uniroma1.it

Abstract. We provide new non-approximability results for the restrictions of the MIN VERTEX COVER problem to bounded-degree, sparse and dense graphs. We show that, for a sufficiently large B, the recent 16/15 lower bound proved by Bellare et al. [3] extends with negligible loss to graphs with bounded degree B. Then, we consider sparse graphs with no dense components (i.e. everywhere sparse graphs), and we show a similar result but with a better trade-off between non-approximability and sparsity. Finally we observe that the MIN VERTEX COVER problem remains APX-complete when restricted to dense graph and thus recent techniques developed by Arora et al. [1] for several MAX SNP problems restricted to "dense" instances cannot be applied.

1 Introduction

Given the common belief that NP-hard optimization problems cannot be solved exactly in polynomial time, much research has been devoted in the past twenty years to derive efficient *approximation algorithms*, i.e. algorithms that deliver solutions whose value is guarantee to be within some multiplicative factor from the optimum.

In order to evaluate the performance guarantees of such approximation algorithms, it is important to understand how far we can go, i.e. to prove, for any approximable problem, which is the best approximation achievable in polynomial time.

Until 1991, only a very few non-approximability results were known, usually with *ad hoc* techniques that did not generalize to other problems. In 1991, Feige et al. [10] showed that results about *Probabilistic Checking of Proofs* (PCP in short - this terminology has been introduced later by Arora and Safra [2]) for NP languages imply non-approximability results for the MAX CLIQUE problem.

Roughly speaking, the key ingredient of a proof checking system is a probabilistic polynomial-time oracle Turing machine (commonly called *verifier*) which,

^{*} On leave from the Centre Universitaire d'Informatique of the University of Geneve. Partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, grant 21-43309.95.

^{**} Part of this research has been done while this author was visiting the Centre Universitaire d'Informatique of the University of Geneve partially supported by the HCM project SCOOP of the European Union.

given a language L and an instance x, efficiently checks the correctness of any "proof" π (i.e. the oracle) for the "Theorem" $x \in L$. Feige et al. established a rather surprising connection between the efficiency of the verifier for the language SAT and the hardness of approximating the MAX CLIQUE problem. Such a relation is sometimes called the *FGLSS reduction* after the names of its discoverers.

Using this new approach, in a short while, a lot of increasingly strong nonapproximability results were given for several problems. The search for further non-approximability results has recently developed into an amazingly broad field of computational complexity. Several such results are surveyed in a compendium mantained by Crescenzi and Kann [6]. We remark that two major sources of improvement have played a key role in virtually all the recent non-approximability results.

On the one hand, there have been several improvements in the efficiency of verifiers. The last achievement in this direction, due to Hastad [14], has been a verifier for SAT implying that MAX CLIQUE is not $n^{1/2-\epsilon}$ -approximable for any $\epsilon > 0$.

On the other hand, much recent work has been devoted to improve the reductions from verifiers to optimization problems and those between problems themselves. Indeed, improved reductions yielded several recent breakthrough in approximability theory. Recent non-approximability results obtained via efficient reductions include Feige's tight result for MIN SET COVER [9] and Bellare et al.'s results for MAX CUT and MAX SAT [3].

This paper follows the latter approach to investigate the approximability of the MIN VERTEX COVER problem with density constraints.

The MIN VERTEX COVER problem is a fundamental graph problem and was proved to be NP-hard in the original Karp's paper [15]. It is known to be NPhard even when restricted to graphs with bounded degree [11], and this gives a clear motivation in the study of its approximability in both the general and the restricted case. In the general case, a very simple 2-approximate algorithm has been known for twenty years [13], and no better approximation algorithm has been found until now. Slightly better approximation guarantees are achievable over bounded-degree graphs [18, 4]. On the negative side, the MIN VERTEX COVER problem has been shown to be MAX SNP-hard even when restricted to graphs with bounded degree by Papadimitriou and Yannakakis [19]. Their reduction is from MAX 3-SAT and uses explicit construction of expander graphs. In [20] a somehow simplified version of such reduction is presented, that gives hardness results even for graphs of maximum degree 3 (see also [4]). Combining this reduction, the non-approximability results by Bellare et al. [3] and the best known explicit construction of expanders [17], one can show that MIN VERTEX COVER is not 1.00036-approximable on bounded degree graphs.

Bellare et al. [3] give a 1.0688 lower bound for the general MIN VERTEX COVER problem by using a different technique, namely, they reduce directly from the computation of a verifier using a somehow "complementary" version of the FLGSS reduction [10]. However, their method does not apply when classes of graphs in which a fixed bound on the maximum degree or some other density constraints are considered.

Since better approximation algorithms are known to exist for the bounded degree case, and since there is such a huge gap (i.e. 1.0688 vs 1.00036) between the lower bound for the general case and the lower bound for the bounded-degree case, one may be tempted to conjecture that indeed the bounded-degree version is strictly easier to approximate.

Our results

We provide a new characterization of the graphs resulting from the reduction from PCP verifiers to MIN VERTEX COVER [3], and we show that such graphs can be seen as the union of bipartite complete graphs. We then give a construction of a particular kind of expanders (denoted as switchers). This technical result permits us to "sparsify" the bipartite complete graphs still preserving the connectivity property required by the reduction. This allows us to show the following hardness result for MIN VERTEX COVER over bounded degree graphs by directly reducing from PCP verifiers: if $P \neq NP$ then the MIN VERTEX COVER problem is not $(1.0688 - \epsilon)$ -approximable even when restricted to graphs with maximum degree $O(1/\epsilon^3)$. Actually, our result is fairly more general. We show that any lower bound for MIN VERTEX COVER proved using current techniques can be extended with negligible loss to the bounded-degree case, and we provide a trade-off between the degree of the graphs and the hardness result. It is worth noting that the best current non-approximability result for MAX 3-SAT is about 1.038 [3], while we can prove the MIN VERTEX COVER problem to be hard to 1.068-approximate over bounded-degree graphs. It should be then clear that our result cannot be proved using a reduction from MAX 3-SAT (such as Papadimitriou and Yannakakis' reduction) and, consequently, it is necessary to follow our approach of reducing directly from the verifier computations.

A better tradeoff can be achieved when a class of sparse graphs, slightly larger than that of bounded degree graphs, is considered. In particular, using a better (but probabilistic) construction of "sparse" switchers, we improve the above result for the class of *everywhere sparse graphs* i.e. graphs in which the sparsity condition is satisfied by any induced subgraph (a formal definition will be given in Section 2): If the polynomial hierarchy does not collapse, then the MIN VERTEX COVER problem is not $(1.0688 - \epsilon)$ -approximable even when restricted to everywhere $O(1/\epsilon \log 1/\epsilon)$ -sparse graphs. We have to use the hypothesis that the polynomial hierarchy does not collapse (actually, that NP $\not\subseteq$ P/poly) because we use a *non-uniform reduction*.

We also note that the reduction appeared in [3] can be slightly modified in order to show that the MIN VERTEX COVER problem is APX-complete even when restricted to dense graphs, and in particular to graphs with large minimum degree (thus, the "dense" restriction does not admit approximation schemes). This contrasts with the fact that several other graph problems admit an approximation scheme when restricted to dense instances [1].

Organization of the paper

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary definitions and some previous results. Section 3 is devoted to both the probabilistic and the deterministic constructions of switchers. In Section 4, we use these graphs to derive the hardness results for MIN VERTEX COVER with density constraints. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss the consequences of our results for the degree of approximation of other optimization problems. Due to lack of space, all the proofs are either sketched or omitted. Full details can be found in the extended version of this paper [8].

2 Preliminaries

Given a graph G(V, E), the MIN VERTEX COVER problem is to find a cover C of G (i.e. a subset $C \subseteq V$ such that C contains at least an endpoint of any edge in E) whose size (i.e. |C|) is as small as possible. As usual, we will use n and m to denote the size of V and the size of E, respectively. Furthermore, given a vertex $v \in V$, the degree of v will be denoted as d(v). We study the complexity of approximating the MIN VERTEX COVER problem with respect to the density of the input graphs. In particular, we will make use of the following definitions.

- 1) Bounded degree graphs. A B-bounded degree graph G(V, E) (B > 0) is a graph such that, for any $v \in V$, $d(v) \leq B$.
- 2) Everywhere sparse graphs. An everywhere k-sparse graph G(V, E) is a graph such that for any subset $W \subseteq V$, the graph induced by W has a number of edges which is not greater than k|W|.

Given an instance x of an optimization problem and a feasible solution y of x, we let m(x, y) be the *measure* (or *cost*) of the solution³. We also denote by opt(x) the measure of an optimum solution. The *performance ratio of* y with respect to x is defined as

$$R(x, y) = \max\left\{\frac{m(x, y)}{\mathsf{opt}(x)}, \frac{\mathsf{opt}(x)}{m(x, y)}\right\}.$$

Note that the performance ratio is always a number no smaller than one, and is as close to one as the solution is close to the optimum.

Definition 1 Approximation algorithm. Let r > 1 be any real; a polynomial-time algorithm is said to be *r*-approximate for an optimization problem Π if, for any instance x of Π , it returns a solution y feasible for x whose performance ratio is not greater than r.

³ In the MIN VERTEX COVER problem, instances are graphs, solutions are covers, and the measure of a solution is its cardinality.

Definition 2 Approximation scheme. An algorithm is said to be an *approximation scheme* for an optimization problem Π , if, for any instance x of Π and a rational r > 1, it returns a solution y feasible for x whose performance ratio is not greater than r. Furthermore, for any fixed r, the running time of the algorithm is polynomial in the size of x.

The class of optimization problems that admit an *r*-approximate algorithm for some r > 1 is denoted by APX, while the class of optimization problems that admit an approximation scheme is denoted by PTAS. It is possible to define PTAS-preserving reductions among APX problems and show natural completeness results [7, 16]. In particular, the MIN VERTEX COVER problem is APXcomplete even when restricted to bounded-degree graphs [19, 16].

In which follows, we summarize the main definitions from the theory of probabilistically checkable proofs and its connections with the MIN VERTEX COVER problem. Our exposition follows [3].

A verifier is an oracle probabilistic polynomial-time Turing machine V. During its computation, V tosses random coins, reads its input and has oracle access to a string π called *proof*. In particular, let a be the sequence of oracle answers received by V during the course of its computation on input x and random string R. If V accepts in that particular circumstance, then we say that (x, R, a) is an accepting configuration for V. Let now x be an input and π be a proof. We denote by ACC[$V^{\pi}(x)$] the probability over its random tosses that V accepts x using π as an oracle. We also denote by ACC[V(x)] the maximum of ACC[$V^{\pi}(x)$] over all proofs π .

We are interested in several parameters that determine the efficiency of the proof checking.

Definition 3 PCP parameters. Let x be a language, and let V be a verifier for L. Then we say that

- V uses r(n) random bits (where $r : \mathbf{Z}^+ \to \mathbf{Z}^+$ is an integer function) if for any input x and for any proof π , V tosses at most r(|x|) random coins;
- V has query complexity q (where q is an integer) if for any input x, any random string R, and any proof π , V reads at most q bits from π ;
- V has free bit complexity f (where f is a real) if for any input x and any random string R, there are at most 2^{f} set of answers a such that (x, R, a) is an accepting configuration for V;
- V has soundness s (where $s \in [0, 1]$ is a real) if, for any $x \notin L$, $ACC[V(x)] \leq s$;
- V has completeness c (where $c \in [0, 1]$ is a real) if, for any $x \in L$, ACC $[V(x)] \ge c$.

Definition 4 PCP with few free bits. Let L be a language, let $0 < s < c \le 1$ be any constants, let f > 0 be a real, q be a positive integer and $r : \mathbf{Z}^+ \to \mathbf{Z}^+$, then we say that $L \in \text{FPCP}_{c,s}[r, f, q]$ if a verifier V exists for L that uses O(r(n)) random bits, has query complexity q, free bit complexity f, soundness s and completeness c.

The following theorem shows that the existence of efficient verifiers for any NP problem implies a non-approximability result for MIN VERTEX COVER.

Theorem 5 Non-approximability of MIN VERTEX COVER [10, 3]. Let us assume that NP \subseteq FPCP_{c,s}[log, f, q]. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, it is NP-hard to find $(1 - \epsilon + (c - s)/(2^f - c))$ -approximate solutions for the MIN VERTEX COVER problem.

Sketch of the proof. Let ϕ be an instance of the SAT problem, and let us consider the behavior of the verifier claimed in the theorem with input ϕ and a proof π . Let $\mathbf{r} = 2^{O(\log n)}$ be the total (polynomial) number of possible random sequences accessed by the verifier. For any of these sequences R, there are at most 2^{f} different accepting configurations (x, R, a). We say that two configurations (x, R, a) and (x, R', a') are consistent if a proof π exists such that a (respectively, a') is the set of answers received during the computation $V^{\pi}(x, R)$ (respectively, $V^{\pi}(x, R')$). We construct a graph G_{ϕ} with a node for each accepting configurations (adding dummy configurations, we make sure that there are exactly 2^{f} r nodes). Then we put an edge between u and v if and only if u and v are not consistent. It is possible to show (see [10]) that there is an independent set in G_{ϕ} with at least k nodes if and only if there exists a proof for ϕ that makes the verifier accept at least k times over \mathbf{r} (i.e. with probability k/\mathbf{r}). Observe that a graph G_{ϕ} with n nodes has an independent set with k nodes if and only if it has a vertex cover with n - k nodes. It follows that if ϕ is satisfiable then there exists a vertex cover in G_{ϕ} with at most $\mathbf{r}(2^{f} - c)$ nodes; otherwise any vertex cover in G_{ϕ} will have at least $\mathbf{r}(2^{f} - s)$ nodes. Thus, any approximation factor better than $(2^{f} - s)/(2^{f} - c)$ would be sufficient to decide the satisfiability of ϕ .

In the following, the graphs G_{ϕ} arising from the above described construction will be called *FGLSS graphs*.

The best current non-approximability result for MIN VERTEX COVER is achieved by showing that $NP \subseteq FPCP_{1,0.794}[\log, 2, q]$ for a certain constant q [3]. This implies that it is NP-hard to 1.0688-approximate MIN VERTEX COVER.

3 Switchers

As described in the Introduction, our technical goal is to replace complete bipartite graphs with sparse bipartite graphs which preserve a sufficiently good "connectivity" property. In which follows we will define this particular kind of graphs and we will show its existence and how to generate them deterministically.

Definition 6 Switcher. Let ϵ be a positive number. A bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ is an (n_1, n_2, ϵ) -switcher if the following holds:

- 1. $|V_1| = n_1, |V_2| = n_2;$
- 2. for any vertex cover C of G, either $|V_1 C| \le \epsilon |C|$ or $|V_2 C| \le \epsilon |C|$.

Roughly speaking, a switcher is such that any of its vertex covers has to choose almost all the nodes in at least one component. It is worth noting that a bipartite complete graph over components of size n_1 and n_2 is an $(n_1, n_2, 0)$ -switcher. As will be shown later, bipartite complete graphs are used in the proof of Theorem 5 because of their perfect switching properties. In the next section we shall show that, essentially, constant-degree switchers suffice.

Lemma 7 Randomized construction of switchers. A constant c > 0 exists such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, for any $k > c(1/\epsilon) \log(1/\epsilon)$ and for any n_1, n_2 , a 2keverywhere sparse (n_1, n_2, ϵ) -switcher with at most $k(n_1 + n_2)$ edges exists.

We shall now consider a deterministic construction that makes use of Ramanujan expnaders [17]. This will be used to prove non-approximability results for graphs with bounded degree under the assumption that $P \neq NP$.

Lemma 8 Deterministic construction of switchers. A constant c > 0 exists such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any n_1 , n_2 such that $n_1 \ge n_2$, an (n_1, n_2, ϵ) -switcher with maximum degree $B \le c(n_1 + n_2)/n_2\epsilon^2$ exists and is constructable in polynomial time.

4 Hardness results

Theorem 9 Non-approximability of MIN VERTEX COVER-B. Let us assume that NP \subseteq FPCP_{c,s}[log, f, q]. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, a constant $B = O(q^4/\epsilon^3)$ exists such that it is NP-hard to $(1 - \epsilon + (c - s)/(2^f - c))$ -approximate the MIN VERTEX COVER problem on graphs with maximum degree B.

Sketch of the proof. Let ϕ be an instance of SAT, and let us consider the FGLSS graph $G_{\phi} = (V_{\phi}, E_{\phi})$. This graph has the following characterization. Let l be the length of the proof accessed by the verifier; for any $i = 1, \ldots, l$, let $\pi[i]$ be the *i*-th bit of the proof π , and let U[i] (respectively, Z[i]) be the set of nodes of the graph corresponding to accepting configurations in which $\pi[i] = 1$ (respectively, $\pi[i] = 0$). Finally, let $u_i^{(j)}$ (respectively, $z_i^{(j)}$) be the *j*-th element of U[i] (respectively, of Z[i]) in lexicographic order. Then, we can characterize the edge set of G_{ϕ} as

$$E_{\phi} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \{ (u_i^{(j)}, z_i^{(k)}) : (j, k) \in K_{|U[i]|, |Z[i]|} \} ,$$

where, for any n_1 and n_2 , K_{n_1,n_2} is the edge set of the bipartite complete graph with vertex components $\{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, n_2\}$. Note that any node u of V_{ϕ} belongs to at most q sets U[i], Z[i] and that E_{ϕ} is indeed the union of bipartite complete graphs. In the following, intuitively, we shall substitute constant-degree switchers in place of the bipartite graphs.

Let γ be a constant to be fixed later such that $1/\gamma = \Theta(q/\epsilon)$. Let I be the set of bits i such that $|Z[i]| \ge \gamma(|Z[i]| + |U[i]|)$. For any n_1 and for any n_2 , let

 S_{n_1,n_2} be the set of edges of an (n_1, n_2, γ) -switcher (we assume that the vertex sets are $\{1, \ldots, n_1\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, n_2\}$). We define a graph $G'_{\phi} = (V_{\phi}, E'_{\phi})$ with the same vertex set of G_{ϕ} and with edge set

$$E'_{\phi} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{ (u_i^{(j)}, z_i^{(k)}) : (j, k) \in S_{|U[i]|, |Z[i]|} \} .$$

Using Lemma 8 and the assumption that $\gamma = \Theta(\epsilon/q)$, it is possible to show that the degree of G'_{ϕ} is bounded by $O(q^4/\epsilon^3)$.

Note that G_{ϕ}^{γ} is an edge-subgraph of G_{ϕ} , thus any vertex cover for G_{ϕ} is also a vertex cover for G_{ϕ} . It follows that if ϕ is satisfiable, then

$$\mathsf{opt}(G'_{\phi}) \le \mathsf{opt}(G_{\phi}) \le \mathbf{r}(2^f - c)$$

Conversely, by exploiting the switching properties of the sets S_{n_1,n_2} , we can prove that from any vertex cover C' in G'_{ϕ} we can recover a vertex cover C in G_{ϕ} such that $|C| \leq |C'|(1+q\gamma) + q\gamma n$. Then, if ϕ is not satisfiable,

$$\mathsf{opt}(G'_{\phi}) \geq \frac{1}{1+q\gamma}\mathsf{opt}(G_{\phi}) - \gamma 2^{f}q\mathbf{r} \geq \mathbf{r}(2^{f}-s)\left(\frac{1}{1+q\gamma} - q\gamma\frac{2^{f}}{2^{f}-s}\right) \ .$$

By choosing $\gamma = \Theta(q/\epsilon)$ small enough, the theorem follows.

Using the same technique applied in the proof of Theorem 9, we can prove the following result. The main difference with respect to the proof of Theorem 9 is that this time we use sparse switchers whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 7.

Theorem 10. Let us assume that NP \subseteq FPCP_{c,s} [log, f, q]. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, a constant $k = O((q^2/\epsilon) \log q/\epsilon)$ exists such that the MIN VERTEX COVER problem restricted to everywhere k-sparse graphs is not $(1 - \epsilon + (c - s)/(2^f - c))$ -approximable unless NP \subseteq P/poly.

Our techniques also yield results regarding the approximability of the MIN VERTEX COVER problem on graphs having a non-linear number of edges.

An interesting consequence of Theorem 9 is the fact that any lower bound proved with the PCP technique for the MIN VERTEX COVER problem on general graphs extends *without any loss* to graphs with maximum degree bounded by *any* (thus even very slow) increasing function.

Corollary 11 (of Theorem 9). Let $h : \mathbf{Z}^+ \to \mathbf{Z}^+$ be a computable function such that $\lim_n h(n) = \infty$, let $NP \subseteq FPCP_{c,s}[\log, f, q]$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ the MIN VERTEX COVER problem restricted to graphs with maximum degree h(n) is NP-hard to approximate within $1 - \epsilon + (c - s)/(2^f - c)$.

The restriction to dense instances (i.e. graphs with $\Omega(n^2)$ edges) of optimization graph problems often admits an efficient approximation scheme [1] even if the general problem is hard to approximate. We note, however, that this is not the case of MIN VERTEX COVER. **Theorem 12.** The MIN VERTEX COVER problem restricted to dense graphs is APX-complete. In particular, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant r > 1 (depending on ϵ) such that it is NP-hard to r-approximate the MIN VERTEX COVER problem restricted to graphs such that any node has degree at least $\epsilon|V|$.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have provided new hardness results on the approximation of MIN VERTEX COVER when some density constraints on the input graphs are considered. A further motivation in determining whether or not the presence of a bound on the number of edges (or on the maximum degree) yields a more "tractable" restriction of the general problem is due to the fact that the MIN VERTEX COVER problem restricted to bounded maximum-degree graphs or to sparse ones has been used as the starting problem in several reductions to other important problems such as the restriction of the MIN STEINER TREE problem to metric spaces [5]. This reduction implies a non-approximability result for MIN STEINER TREE that depends on the non-approximability ratio that one can prove for vertex cover on sparse graphs and on the sparsity of such graphs (and the additional condition that the sparse graphs are such that the minimum cover is guaranteed to be a constant fraction of the number of nodes). We computed the non-approximability result for MIN STEINER TREE that arises from [19, 5, 17, 3], and it is about 1 + 1/5600. More generally, there is a linear relation between the hardness ratio that one can prove for the MAX 3-SAT problem and the consequent hardness ratio implied for the MIN STEINER TREE problem. On the other hand, our present results, combined with the best currently available verifier [3], give a worse hardness ratio for the MIN STEINER TREE problem, but the relation between the efficiency of the verifier and the hardness for MIN STEINER TREE is superlinear, and thus better verifiers will imply a larger improvement for the hardness implied by our reduction than for that implied by Papadimitriou and Yannakakis' reduction. Observe also that our results are related to the free-bit complexity of the verifier, and improvements on this query complexity measure do not imply any improvement for Papadimitriou and Yannakakis' reduction.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Pierluigi Crescenzi, José Rolim and Madhu Sudan for several helpful discussions.

References

1. S. Arora, D. Karger, and M. Karpinski. Polynomial time approximation schemes for dense instances of graph problems. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Symposium* on Theory of Computing, pages 284-293, 1995.

- S. Arora and S. Safra. Probabilistic checking of proofs; a new characterization of NP. In Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, pages 2-13, 1992.
- M. Bellare, O. Goldreich, and M. Sudan. Free bits, PCP's and nonapproximability – towards tight results (3rd version). Technical Report TR95-24, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 1995. Preliminary version in Proc. of FOCS'95.
- 4. P. Berman and T. Fujito. On the approximation properties of the independent set problem in degree 3 graphs. In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Algorithms* and Data Structures, pages 449-460. LNCS 955, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- M. Bern and P. Plassmann. The Steiner tree problem with edge lengths 1 and 2. Information Processing Letters, 32:171-176, 1989.
- 6. P. Crescenzi and V. Kann. A compendium of NP optimization problems. Technical Report TR SI-95/02, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Informazione, 1995. Updated on-line version is available at the URL http://www.nada.kth.se/~viggo/problemlist/compendium.html.
- 7. P. Crescenzi and A. Panconesi. Completeness in approximation classes. Information and Computation, 93:241-262, 1991. Preliminary version in Proc. of FCT'89.
- 8. P. Crescenzi and L. Trevisan. PCP-free structural theorems in approximation classes. Manuscript, 1996.
- 9. U. Feige. A threshold of $\ln n$ for approximating set cover. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1996.
- U. Feige, S. Goldwasser, L. Lovasz, S. Safra, and M. Szegedy. Approximating clique is almost NP-complete. In *Proceedings of the 32nd IEEE Symposium on* Foundations of Computer Science, pages 2-12, 1991.
- 11. M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, and L. Stockmeyer. Some simplified NP-complete graph problems. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 1:237-267, 1976.
- 12. M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson. Computers and Intractability: a Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. Freeman, 1979.
- 13. F. Gavril. Manuscript cited in [12], 1974.
- 14. J. Håstad. Testing of the long code and hardness for clique. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1996.
- R.M. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In R.E. Miller and J.W. Thatcher, editors, *Complexity of Computer Computations*, pages 85-103. Plenum Press, 1972.
- S. Khanna, R. Motwani, M. Sudan, and U. Vazirani. On syntactic versus computational views of approximability. In Proceedings of the 35th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, pages 819-830, 1994.
- A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. Combinatorica, 8:261-277, 1988.
- B. Monien and E. Speckenmeyer. Some further approximation algorithms for the vertex cover problem. In *Proceedings of CAAP83*, pages 341-349. LNCS 159, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- C. H. Papadimitriou and M. Yannakakis. Optimization, approximation, and complexity classes. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 43:425-440, 1991. Preliminary version in Proc. of STOC'88.
- 20. C.H. Papadimitriou. Computational Complexity. Addison-Wesley, 1994.

This article was processed using the $I\!AT_F\!X$ macro package with LLNCS style