

## Lecture 7: Cheeger-type Inequalities for $\lambda_n$ , cont'd

*Last updated 2/25/2016*

*In which we state an analog of Cheeger's inequalities for the  $k$ -th smallest Laplacian eigenvalue, and we discuss the connection between this result and the analysis of spectral partitioning algorithms*

### 1 Review

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $d$ -regular undirected graph,  $L$  its normalized Laplacian,  $0 = \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq 2$  be the Laplacian eigenvalues, and  $\phi_k(G)$  be the order- $k$  expansion of  $G$ .

We want to prove

$$\phi_k(G) \leq O(k^{3.5}) \cdot \sqrt{\lambda_k}$$

We will prove the somewhat stronger result that, given  $k$  orthonormal vectors  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ , we can find  $k$  disjointly supported vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(k)}$  such that, for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$R_L(\mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \leq O(k^7) \cdot \max_{j=1, \dots, k} R_L(\mathbf{x}^{(j)})$$

In order to do that, we define the mapping

$$F(v) := (x_v^{(1)}, \dots, x_v^{(k)}) \tag{1}$$

of vertices to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and the normalized distance

$$\text{dist}(u, v) := \left\| \frac{F(u)}{\|F(u)\|} - \frac{F(v)}{\|F(v)\|} \right\| \tag{2}$$

between vertices, and we are going to prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1 (Localization)** *Given  $t$  sets  $A_1, \dots, A_t$  such that, for every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $\sum_{v \in A_i} \|F(v)\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and, for every  $u, v$  in different sets  $\text{dist}(u, v) \geq \delta$ , we can construct  $t$  disjointly supported vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(t)}$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , we have*

$$R_L(\mathbf{y}^{(t)}) \leq O(k \cdot \delta^{-2}) \cdot R_L(F)$$

**Lemma 2 (Well-Separated Sets)** *There are  $k$  disjoint subsets of vertices  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  such that*

- For every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\sum_{v \in A_i} \|F(v)\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$
- For every  $u$  and  $v$  belonging to different sets,  $\text{dist}(u, v) \geq \Omega(k^{-3})$

Recall that, for a function  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  the Rayleigh quotient of  $f$  is defined as

$$R_L(f) := \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}} \|f(u) - f(v)\|^2}{d \sum_v \|f(v)\|^2}$$

and, by definition of  $F$ , we have

$$R_L(F) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_i R_L(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

## 2 Some Preliminaries

We will prove some simple properties of the embedding  $F(\cdot)$  and of the distance function  $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

First, we observe that

$$\sum_{v \in V} \|F(v)\|^2 = \sum_v \sum_i (x_v^{(i)})^2 = \sum_i \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|^2 = k$$

Next, we prove the sense in which  $F(\cdot)$  “spreads out” vertices across  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

**Lemma 3** *For every unit vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,*

$$\sum_{v \in V} \langle F(v), \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 = 1$$

PROOF: Consider the  $k \times n$  matrix  $X$  whose rows are the vectors  $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$  and whose columns are the points  $F(v)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{v \in V} \langle F(v), \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 = \|X^T \mathbf{w}\|^2 = \mathbf{w}^T X X^T \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} = 1$$

where we used the fact that the rows of  $X$  are orthogonal and so  $X X^T$  is the identity.  $\square$

This means that, for every direction, the points  $F(v)$  correlate with that direction in the same way, regardless of the direction itself.

In the proof of the localization lemma, we will make use of the following inequality: for every two vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ ,

$$| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\| | \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

which is a consequence of Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{y}\|)^2 &= \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{y}\| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\ &= \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Localization

In this section we prove Lemma 1.

#### 3.1 Proof Ideas

The basic idea is that we would like to define the vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  as

$$y_v^{(i)} := \mathbf{1}_{A_i}(v) \cdot \|F(v)\|$$

The denominator of the Rayleigh quotient of such a vector is, by definition, at least  $1/2$ , and we might hope to upper bound the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  in terms of the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $F$ , which is  $kR_L(F)$ .

Indeed, every edge  $\{u, v\}$  with both endpoints outside of  $A_i$  contributes zero to the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ , and every edge  $\{u, v\}$  with both endpoints in  $A_i$  contributes

$$(\|F(u)\| - \|F(v)\|)^2 \leq \|F(u) - F(v)\|^2$$

to the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ , and the right-hand-side above is the contribution of the edge to the numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $F$ .

So far, so good, but the problem comes from edges  $\{u, v\}$  with one endpoint  $u \in A_i$  and one endpoint  $v \notin A_i$ . Such an edge contributes  $\|F(u)\|^2$  to the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  and  $\|F(u) - F(v)\|^2$  to the Rayleigh quotient of  $F$ , and the former quantity could be much larger than the latter. If  $\text{dist}(u, v)$  is large, however,  $\|F(u)\|^2$  cannot be much larger than  $\|F(u) - F(v)\|^2$ , because of the following fact

**Lemma 4**

$$\|F(v)\| \cdot \text{dist}(u, v) \leq 2\|F(u) - F(v)\| \quad (3)$$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(v)\| \cdot \text{dist}(u, v) &= \|F(v)\| \cdot \left\| \frac{F(u)}{\|F(u)\|} - \frac{F(v)}{\|F(v)\|} \right\| \\ &= \left\| F(u) \cdot \frac{\|F(v)\|}{\|F(u)\|} - F(v) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| F(u) \cdot \frac{\|F(v)\|}{\|F(u)\|} - F(u) \right\| + \|F(u) - F(v)\| \\ &= \left\| F(u) \cdot \left( \frac{\|F(v)\|}{\|F(u)\|} - 1 \right) \right\| + \|F(u) - F(v)\| \\ &= \|F(u)\| \cdot \left| \frac{\|F(v)\|}{\|F(u)\|} - 1 \right| + \|F(u) - F(v)\| \\ &= \left| \|F(v)\| - \|F(u)\| \right| + \|F(u) - F(v)\| \\ &\leq 2\|F(u) - F(v)\| \end{aligned}$$

□

We can conclude that the only problem comes from edges  $\{u, v\}$  such that  $u \in A_i$ ,  $v \notin A_i$ , and  $\text{dist}(u, v)$  is small. To deal with such edges, we will modify the definition of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ , and use a “smoothed” version of the indicator function of  $A_i$  instead of the actual indicator.

### 3.2 Proof

If  $v$  is a vertex and  $A$  is a set of vertices, we define

$$\text{dist}(v, A) = \min_{u \in A} \text{dist}(v, u)$$

For each  $i$ , we define the following *smooth indicator function* of  $A_i$ :

$$\tau_i(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in A_i \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{dist}(v, A_i) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \\ 1 - \frac{2}{\delta} \cdot \text{dist}(v, A_i) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that the functions  $\tau_i(\cdot)$  are disjointly supported: there cannot be a vertex  $v$  such that  $\tau_i(v) > 0$  and  $\tau_j(v) > 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , otherwise we would have  $\text{dist}(v, A_i) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and  $\text{dist}(v, A_j) < \frac{\delta}{2}$ , contradicting the well-separated condition on the sets  $A_i$ .

We define the vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  as

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{(i)} = \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(v)\|$$

The vectors  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  are disjointly supported, and it remains to understand their Rayleigh quotient.

The denominator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  is

$$\sum_{v \in V} \tau_i^2(v) \cdot \|F(v)\|^2 \geq \sum_{v \in A_i} \|F(v)\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

The contribution of an edge  $\{u, v\}$  to the numerator is the square of

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{y}_v^{(i)} - \mathbf{y}_u^{(i)}| = | \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(v)\| - \tau_i(u) \cdot \|F(u)\| | \\ & \leq | \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(v)\| - \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(u)\| | + | \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(u)\| - \tau_i(u) \cdot \|F(u)\| | \\ & = \tau_i(v) \cdot \|F(v) - F(u)\| + \|F(u)\| \cdot |\tau_i(v) - \tau_i(u)| \\ & \leq \|F(v) - F(u)\| + \|F(u)\| \cdot \frac{2}{\delta} \cdot \text{dist}(v, u) \\ & \leq \|F(v) - F(u)\| \cdot \left(1 + \frac{4}{\delta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality

$$|\tau_i(v) - \tau_i(u)| \leq \frac{2}{\delta} |\text{dist}(v, A_i) - \text{dist}(u, A_i)| \leq \frac{2}{\delta} \text{dist}(v, u)$$

The numerator of the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$  is thus

$$\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |y_v^{(i)} - y_u^{(i)}|^2 \leq O(\delta^{-2}) \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} \|F(v) - F(u)\|^2 = O(\delta^{-2}) \cdot k R_L(F)$$

and this proves Lemma 1.

## 4 Well-Separated Sets

In this section we prove Lemma 2, which follows easily from the following result.

**Lemma 5** *We can find disjoint sets of vertices  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  such that*

- $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{v \in T_i} \|F(v)\|^2 \geq k - \frac{1}{4}$
- *For every  $u, v$  in different sets,  $\text{dist}(u, v) \geq \Omega(k^{-3})$*
- *For every set  $T_i$ ,  $\sum_{v \in T_i} \|F(v)\|^2 \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4k}$*

We can derive Lemma 2 from Lemma 5 as follows. Let us call the quantity  $\sum_{v \in A} \|F(v)\|^2$  the *mass* of a set  $A$ . Starting from the sets  $T_1, \dots, T_m$  promised by Lemma 5 we run the following process: as long as there are two sets both of mass  $< \frac{1}{2}$  we merge them. Call  $A_1, \dots, A_t$  the sets of mass  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$  obtained at the end of this process; in addition, there may be one more set of mass  $< \frac{1}{2}$ . Every set has mass  $\leq 1 + \frac{1}{4k}$ . This means that the total mass of the sets is at most  $\frac{1}{2} + t \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{4k}) \geq k - \frac{1}{4}$ , which implies  $t \geq k$ . Thus we have found  $k$  sets of vertices, each of mass at least  $1/2$ , and such that any two sets have separation  $\Omega(k^{-3})$ .

Now we turn to the proof of Lemma 5. We are going to use the fact that, for every small cone in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , the mass of vertices  $v$  such that  $F(v)$  is in the cone is also small and, in particular, it can be made at most  $1 + \frac{1}{4k}$ . We will prove the Lemma by covering almost all the points  $F(v)$  using a collection of well-separated small cones.

We first formalize the above intuition about cones. If  $R$  (for *region*) is a subset of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then the diameter of  $R$  is

$$\text{diam}(R) := \sup_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \in R} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|$$

and the *cone* generated by  $R$  is the set  $\{\alpha \mathbf{w} : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{w} \in R\}$  of non-negative scalar multiples of elements of  $R$ . The set of vertices covered by  $R$ , denoted  $V(R)$  is the set of vertices  $v$  such that  $F(v)$  is in the cone generated by  $R$  or, equivalently,

$$V(R) := \left\{ v \in V : \frac{F(v)}{\|F(v)\|} \in R \right\}$$

If  $R$  has small diameter, then  $V(R)$  has small mass.

**Lemma 6** *For every subset  $R$  of the unit sphere,*

$$\sum_{v \in V(R)} \|F(v)\|^2 \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\text{diam}(R))^2 \right)^{-2}$$

PROOF: For every two unit vectors  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$ , we have

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|^2$$

For every vertex  $v$ , call

$$\bar{F}(v) := \frac{F(v)}{\|F(v)\|}$$

Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a vector in  $R$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{v \in V(R)} \langle F(v), \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(R)} \|F(v)\|^2 \cdot \langle \bar{F}(v), \mathbf{w} \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(R)} \|F(v)\|^2 \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|\bar{F}(v) - \mathbf{w}\|^2 \right)^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in V(R)} \|F(v)\|^2 \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\text{diam}(R))^2 \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, if  $\text{diam}(R) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5k}}$ , then the mass of  $V(R)$  is at most

$$\left( 1 - \frac{1}{10k} \right)^{-2} \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{5k} \right)^{-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{5k-1} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4k}$$

Another observation is that, for every two subsets  $R_1, R_2$  of the unit sphere,

$$\min_{u \in V(R_1), v \in V(R_2)} \text{dist}(u, v) \geq \min_{\mathbf{w} \in R_1, \mathbf{z} \in R_2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}\|$$

Our approach will be to find disjoint subsets  $R_1, \dots, R_m$  of the unit sphere, each of diameter at most  $1/2\sqrt{k}$ , such that the total mass of the sets  $V(R_1), \dots, V(R_m)$  is at least  $k - \frac{1}{4}$  and such that the separation between any two  $R_i, R_j$  is at least  $\Omega(k^{-3})$ .

To do this, we tile  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with axis-parallel cubes of side  $L = \frac{1}{2k}$ , which clearly have diameter at most  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}$ , and, for every cube  $C$ , we define its *core*  $\tilde{C}$  to be a cube with the same center as  $C$  and of side  $L \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{4k^2}\right)$ . Note two points in the core of two different cubes have distance at least  $\frac{1}{8k^3}$ . Let now  $R_1, R_2, \dots$  be the intersections of the cube cores with the unit sphere. Since each  $R_i$  is a subset of a core of a cube, it has diameter at most  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k}}$ , and the distance between any two points in different regions is at least  $\frac{1}{8k^3}$ . We claim that there is a way to choose the location of the centers of the cubes so that  $\sum_i \sum_{v \in V(R_i)} \|F(v)\|^2 \geq k - \frac{1}{4}$ .

Let us start by a fixed configuration of the cubes and then apply an axis-parallel random shift (by adding to each coordinate, a random real in the range  $[0, L]$ ). Then, for each fixed point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and, in particular, for each point  $\bar{F}(v)$ , the probability that it falls in the core of a cube after the shift is at least  $1 - \frac{1}{4k}$ , so the average mass of the vertices covered by the regions is at least  $k - \frac{1}{4}$ , and there must exist a shift that is at least as good.