

## Solutions to Problem Set 3

1. Let  $G : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  be a  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure pseudorandom generator computable in time  $r$ .

Show that  $G$  is also a  $(t - r - O(n), \epsilon + 2^{-n})$ -secure one way function.

**Solution.** Suppose that  $A$  is an algorithm of complexity  $t - r - O(n)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[A(G(x)) = x' : G(x) = G(x')] > \epsilon + 2^{-n} \quad (1)$$

Consider the algorithm  $A'$  that, on input  $y$ , computes  $A(y)$  and then outputs 1 if and only if  $G(A(y)) = y$ . Then, from (1) we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} [A'(G(x)) = 1] > \epsilon + 2^{-n}$$

Now note that we can have  $A'(y) = 1$  only if  $y$  is a possible output of  $G$ , and  $G$  has at most  $2^n$  possible outputs so

$$\mathbb{P}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}} [A'(z) = 1] \leq \mathbb{P}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}} [z \text{ is a possible output of } G] \leq \frac{2^n}{2^{2n}} = 2^{-n}$$

and so

$$\left| \mathbb{P}_{x \in \{0, 1\}^n} [A'(G(x)) = 1] - \mathbb{P}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}} [A'(z) = 1] \right| > \epsilon$$

and  $A'$  has complexity  $\leq t$ , thus contradicting the  $(t, \epsilon)$  pseudorandomness of  $G$ .

2. Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  be a  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure one-way function.

Show that

$$\frac{t}{\epsilon} \leq O((m + n) \cdot 2^n)$$

**Solution.** We need to show that for every  $\epsilon$  there is an algorithm  $A_\epsilon$  of complexity  $\leq O((m+n) \cdot \epsilon \cdot 2^n)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x[A_\epsilon(f(x)) = x' : f(x) = f(x')] \geq \epsilon$$

We define  $A_\epsilon$  to have a look-up table contained  $\epsilon \cdot 2^n$  pairs  $(x, f(x))$ , one for each  $x$  belonging to an arbitrarily chosen set  $S$  of size  $\epsilon 2^n$ . (For example the first  $\epsilon 2^n$  strings in lexicographic order.)

On input  $y$ , we determine if  $y$  is a second element of any pair in the table, and, if so, we output the first element. If the look-up table is sorted, the algorithm can use binary search, and have running time  $O((m+n) \cdot n)$ ; the size of the table dominates the complexity.

3. Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure one-way permutation computable in time  $\leq r$ .

Show that

$$\frac{t^2}{\epsilon} \leq O((r + n^2)^2 \cdot 2^n)$$

[Hint: first show that, for any permutation  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , there is an algorithm of complexity  $O(r \cdot 2^{n/2})$  that inverts the permutation everywhere. The algorithm is given a pre-computed data structure of size  $O(n2^{n/2})$  and runs in time  $O(r2^{n/2})$ . Recall that in our model of computation we do not pay for the price of pre-computing data at “compile time,” we only pay the sum of the length of the program, including any fixed data it needs access to, plus the worst-case running time.]

**Solution.** We need to show that for every  $\epsilon$  there is an algorithm  $A_\epsilon$  of complexity  $O((r + n^2)\sqrt{\epsilon 2^n})$  that inverts  $f()$  on at least an  $\epsilon$  fraction of inputs.

Consider the graph that has one vertex for every element  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and one directed edge  $(x, f(x))$  for every  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . Thus, every vertex has in-degree one and out-degree one, and the graph is a collection of disjoint cycles. The problem of inverting  $f()$  can be thought of as the problem: given a vertex in the graph, find the *predecessor* of that vertex in the cycle that it belongs to.

A simple algorithm for inverting  $f()$  is to simply “walk” on the graph: given  $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we compute  $f(y)$ ,  $f(f(y))$ , and so on, until we return to the value  $y$ ; the value we encounter before returning to  $y$  is the unique  $x$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Unfortunately, if  $f()$  defines a graph containing just one huge cycle, then the running time of this algorithm is  $r \cdot 2^n$ , which is no better than trying all possible pre-images by brute force.

The idea is then to construct a data structure containing “shortcuts.” Let  $\ell = \sqrt{\epsilon 2^n}$  (assume for simplicity that it’s an integer). For every cycle of length  $L \geq 2\ell$ , we pick vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ ,  $k = \lfloor L/\ell \rfloor$ , which are equally spaced around the cycle (possibly  $x_k$  is slightly closer to  $x_1$  to account for rounding error), and we add the pairs  $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3), \dots, (x_k, x_1)$  to the data structure. Note that at most a  $1/\ell$  fraction of vertices in each cycle give rise to elements of the data structure. We stop the construction when we run out of long cycles or when we have added  $\sqrt{\epsilon 2^n}$  pairs to the data structure, whichever comes first.

Now consider the algorithm  $A_\epsilon$

- Input:  $y$
- $y_0 := y$
- for  $i := 0$  to  $2\sqrt{\epsilon 2^n}$ 
  - If there is a pair  $(x_1, x_2)$  in the data structure such that  $x_2 == y_i$ , then  $y_{i+1} := x_1$
  - Else  $y_{i+1} := f(y_i)$
  - If  $y_{i+1} == y$  then return  $y_i$
- return *FAIL*

that, on input  $y$ , computes  $y_1 = f(y)$ ,  $y_2 = f(y_1) = f(f(y))$  and so on as before, but, in addition, at every step checks not only whether  $y_{i+1} = y$ , but also whether  $y_i$  is a second element of a pair in the data structure. In the first case, of course we output  $y_i$ . In the second case, we continue with the first element of the pair, which corresponds to moving backwards on the cycle by roughly  $\ell$  steps (and no more than  $2\ell$ ). If we don’t find an inverse within  $2\ell$  steps, we fail.

Note that we invert all the elements that belong to cycles of length  $\leq 2\ell$ , and, for every pair that we add to the data structure, we add at least  $\ell$  elements to the set of inputs that are correctly inverted by the algorithm, so the algorithm correctly inverts at least  $\ell^2$  elements, that is, at least  $\epsilon 2^n$ , which is at least an  $\epsilon$  fraction of the total.

Every step of the algorithm requires time  $r$  to evaluate  $f$  and time  $O(n^2)$  to search the data structure.

4. Let  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  be a  $(t, \epsilon)$ -secure one-way function computable in time  $r$ .

Show that  $g : \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  defined as  $g(x, y) := f(x), f(y)$  is  $(t - O(r), \epsilon)$ -secure.

**Solution.** Suppose that  $g$  is not  $(t - O(r), \epsilon)$  secure, and let  $A$  be an algorithm of complexity  $t_A = t - O(r)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{x,y} [A(f(x), f(y)) = (x', y') : f(x') = f(x) \wedge f(y') = f(y)] > \epsilon$$

Then consider the algorithm  $A'$  that, on input  $z$ , picks a random  $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and simulates  $A(z, f(y))$ , then return the first output of  $A(z, f(y))$ .

We have

$$\mathbb{P}_x [A'(f(x)) = x' : f(x) = f(x')] = \mathbb{P}_{x,y} [A(f(x), f(y)) = (x', y') : f(x') = f(x)] > \epsilon$$

And note that  $A'$  has complexity  $\leq t_A + n + r \leq t$ , contradicting the  $(t, \epsilon)$  security of  $f$ .

- Let  $p$  be a prime and  $g$  be a generator for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  such that  $f(x) := g^x \bmod p$  is a  $(t, 0.99)$ -one way permutation. Let  $k = \lceil \log_2 p \rceil$  be the number of digits of  $p$ ; then recall that  $f()$  is computable in time  $O(k^3)$ .

Show that  $f()$  is also  $(\frac{1}{24,000}(t - O(k^3)), 0.51)$ -one way.

**Solution.** Suppose  $f()$  is not  $(\frac{1}{24,000}(t - O(k^3)), 0.51)$  one way, so that there is an algorithm  $A$  of complexity  $t_A \leq \frac{1}{24,000}(t - O(k^3))$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{x \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}} [A(g^x) = x] \geq .51$$

Now, suppose we are given  $y = g^x$ , and consider the process of picking a random  $r \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  and computing  $A(y \cdot g^r)$ . Then, with probability at least .51, the answer will be the correct one,  $x + r \bmod p - 1$ . If we subtract  $r$ , we get  $x$ . This process succeeds for every  $x$ , with probability at least 51%. If we repeat the process for  $k$  randomly chosen  $r$ , then we will find the correct answer on average at least  $.51 \cdot k$  times, and the probability that the majority answer is correct is at least

$$1 - e^{-2k/10,000}$$

using the Chernoff bound. This probability is at least .99 provided that  $k \geq 23026$ .

Overall, we have the following algorithm  $A'$ :

- Input:  $y$
- For  $i = 1$  to  $23,026$ 
  - Pick random  $r$
  - $x_i := A(g^r \cdot y) - r \bmod (p - 1)$
- Return most frequent value among  $x_i$

This algorithm runs in time  $\leq 23,026 \cdot (t_A + O(k^3)) \leq t$  and inverts  $f$  on more than a 99% fraction of inputs.

6. Recall that if  $F : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  is a function then we define the Feistel permutation  $D_F(x, y) := y, x \oplus F(y)$ .

Show that there is an efficient oracle algorithm  $A$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Pi: \{0,1\}^{2m} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{2m}} [A^{\Pi, \Pi^{-1}} = 1] = 2^{-\Omega(m)}$$

where  $\Pi$  is a random permutation, but for every three functions  $F_1, F_2, F_3$ , if we define  $P(x) := D_{F_3}(D_{F_2}(D_{F_1}(x)))$  we have

$$A^{P, P^{-1}} = 1$$

[Note: I don't know the solution.]